



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,317		07/13/2001	Yasuhisa Tsujita	09253-003001	6175
26161	7590	03/09/2004		EXAMI	NER
FISH & F 225 FRAN	RICHARD	SON PC	PREVIL, DANIEL		
	MA 021	10		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2636	,
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004	. 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	09/905,317 Examiner	TSUJITA ET AL.
	Examiner	
	i	Art Unit
	Daniel Previl	2636
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
, •		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ratute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 January 2004.	
·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 8-11, 15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	<u> </u>	÷ (, (, (,
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	t received.
attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB.		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on January 7, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8-11, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derbyshire et al. (US 6,271,748) in view of Munch et al. (US 6,580,364).

Regarding claim 1, Derbyshire discloses a tire condition monitoring apparatus for monitoring a condition of a tire attached to a vehicle (abstract) comprising: a transmitter operated by a battery (wheel transmitter unit 2 is provided by a battery 15) (col. 5, lines 19-20) and detects at least the temperature in the tire (the wheel transmitter unit 2 transmits information (temperature or pressure) (col. 4, lines 7-10; col. 6, lines 24-31) and a voltage-related value that varies in accordance with voltage of the battery, wherein the battery has a life (reference voltage unit provides a reference voltage output to a tight tolerance regardless of any changes in the voltage of the battery) (col. 5, lines 12-28; col. 9, lines 14-21).

Derbyshire discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose a controller, which judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending

Art Unit: 2636

depending on the voltage related value wherein the judgment regarding the life of the battery is preformed with the temperature in the tire.

However, Munch discloses a controller 72, which judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending depending on the voltage related value wherein the judgment regarding the life of the battery is preformed with the temperature in the tire (a battery voltage sensor 88 samples the voltage of the power supply 26 upon the controller 72 and indicative of the sensed voltage and provides a good indication of the battery) (fig. 2; col. 5, lines 44-53; col. 8, lines 13-23).

Therefore. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Munch in Derbyshire. Doing so would monitor accurately the condition of the battery attached to a vehicle transmitter in the tire. So, an abnormal condition of the tire could be immediately noticed by the driver, wherein appropriate measures could be taken to prevent accident that can lead to property damage or severe injury even death.

Regarding claim 2, Derbyshire discloses the transmitter wirelessly transmits data, which includes data represents the temperature in the tire and data that represents the voltage related value and the controller is located in a receiver that receives the data from the transmitter (transmitter transmits via a radio frequency transmitter, temperature and voltage) (abstract) and controller,

Art Unit: 2636

and the receiver are mounted behind the dashboard in a single unit housed) (col. 4, lines 3-33).

Regarding claim 3, Derbyshire discloses a comparison between the voltage related value and a variable voltage reference value that is selected with the temperature in the tire (col. 5, lines 12-53).

Regarding claim 4, Derbyshire discloses a functional equation that represent variation of the voltage of the battery with respect to the ambient temperature to which the battery is exposed (fig. 11; col. 13, lines 49-67).

Regarding claim 8, Derbyshire discloses a voltage related value is the voltage of the battery (battery voltage) (col. 5, line 19).

Regarding claim 9, Derbyshire discloses a transmitter which is operated by a battery (wheel transmitter unit 2 is provided by a battery 15) (col. 5, lines 19-20) and wirelessly transmits data (data is transmitted via a radio frequency transmitter) (abstract); wherein data represents the temperature in the tire (the wheel transmitter unit 2 transmits information (temperature or pressure) (col. 4, lines 7-10; col. 6, lines 24-31) and data that represents voltage of the battery, wherein the battery has a life (reference voltage unit provides a reference voltage output to a tight tolerance regardless of any changes in the voltage of the battery) (col. 5, lines 12-28; col. 9, lines 14-21); a receiver which receives the data from the transmitter (transmitted data is received by a receiver unit) (abstract).

Derbyshire discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose a controller that judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending depending

Art Unit: 2636

on the voltage of the battery, wherein the judgment regarding the life of the battery is performed in accordance with the temperature in the tire.

However, Munch discloses a controller 72, which judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending depending on the voltage related value wherein the judgment regarding the life of the battery is preformed with the temperature in the tire (a battery voltage sensor 88 samples the voltage of the power supply 26 upon the controller 72 and indicative of the sensed voltage and provides a good indication of the battery) (fig. 2; col. 5, lines 44-53; col. 8, lines 13-23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Munch in Derbyshire. Doing so would monitor accurately the condition of the battery attached to a vehicle transmitter in the tire. So, an abnormal condition of the tire could be immediately noticed by the driver, wherein appropriate measures could be taken to prevent accident that can lead to property damage or severe injury even death.

Regarding claim 10, Derbyshire discloses a comparison between the voltage of the battery and a variable voltage reference value that is selected in accordance with the temperature in the tire (col. 5, lines 12-53).

Regarding claim 11, Derbyshire discloses a functional equation that represent variation of the voltage of the battery with respect to the ambient temperature to which the battery is exposed (fig. 11; col. 13, lines 49-67).

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 15, Derbyshire discloses a transmitter which is operated by a battery, the battery having a life, wherein the transmitter (wheel transmitter unit 2 is provided by a battery 15) (col. 5, lines 19-20); a temperature sensor which detects the temperature in the tire (abstract); a transmitting circuit which wirelessly transmits data including data that represents the detected temperature data and data that represents the detected voltage (fig. 1; abstract; col. 5, lines 18-27); a receiver which receives the data from the transmitter (transmitted data is received by a receiver unit) (abstract); a comparison between the voltage of the battery which derived from the received data, and a variable voltage reference value that is selected in accordance with the temperature in the tire which is derived from the received data (col. 5, lines 12-53).

Derbyshire discloses all the limitations above but fails to explicitly disclose a voltage sensor which detects the voltage of the battery; a controller that judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending.

However, Munch discloses a voltage sensor which detect the voltage of the battery (battery voltage sensor 88) (fig. 2); a controller 72 that judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending (controller 72 provides a good indication of the battery) (col. 5, lines 36-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Munch in Derbyshire. Doing so would monitor accurately the condition of the battery attached to a vehicle transmitter in the tire. So, an abnormal condition of the tire could be immediately noticed by the driver, wherein appropriate measures could be taken

Art Unit: 2636

to prevent accident that can lead to property damage or severe injury even death.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 5-7, 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In combination with all the limitations in the claim, the prior arts fail to teach or make obvious: the controller judges the life of the battery is ending if the temperature in the tire is equal to or greater than a predetermined reference value, and the controller does not perform the judgment regarding the life of the battery if the temperature in the battery is smaller than the reference value.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on January 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

According to Applicant's argument "neither Derbyshire nor Munch, alone or in combination, discloses or suggests a feature of claim 1, i.e. a controller which judges whether or not the life of the battery is ending depending on the voltage-related value, wherein the judgment regarding the life of the battery is performed in accordance with the temperature in the tire". The examiner

Art Unit: 2636

respectfully disagrees with the Applicant because Munch clearly discloses that the controller at the sensor module providing a low battery condition when the tire has a low temperature or the tire pressure is above or less than a predetermined threshold (col. 8, lines 13-23).

The examiner urges the Applicant to also read Pashayan, Jr. (US 6,252,498) on col. 6, lines 18-25; col. 4, lines 37-49.

For at least the above reason, the rejection of claims 1-15 is sustained.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,317 Page 9

Art Unit: 2636

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 8. Pashayan, Jr. (US 6,252,498) discloses a tire pressure detecting system for a vehicle.
- 9. Eberwine et al. (US 5,783,992) discloses a time based low tire pressure warning sensor.
- 10. Dezorzi (US 6,232,875) discloses an apparatus and method for controlling a tire condition module of a vehicle tire.
- 11. Koch et al. (US 5,573,611) discloses a method of monitoring conditions of vehicle tires and tires containing a monitoring device therein.
- 12. Lin (US 6,340,930) discloses a system and method for monitoring a condition of a vehicle tire.
- 13. Kessler et al. (US 6,445,286) discloses a method for operating a device for the monitoring and wireless signaling of a pressure change in pneumatic tires of a vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Previl whose telephone number is 703 305-1028. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on 703 305-4717. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/905,317 Page 10

Art Unit: 2636

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 872-9315 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Daniel Previl Examiner Art Unit 2636

DP February 24, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600